ARAŞTIRMA / RESEARCH

Cholecystectomy under epidural anesthesia in elderly patients with significant comorbid conditions

Önemli komorbid durumları olan yaşlı hastalarda epidural anestezi altında kolesistektomi

Mehmet Arif Usta¹, Serkan Tayar², Murat Emre Reis¹, Mehmet Uluşahin¹, Etem Alhan¹

¹Karadeniz Technical University Faculty of Medicine, Farabi Hospital, Department of General Surgery; Trabzon, Turkey ²Erzurum Regional Training and Research Hospital, General Surgery Clinic, Erzurum, Turkey

Cukurova Medical Journal 2022;47(2):548-554

Öz

Abstract

Purpose: The aim of this study was to evaluate the surgical treatment of gallstone disease with open cholecystectomy under epidural anesthesia in elderly patients with significant comorbid conditions.

Materials and Methods: We retrospectively analyzed 80 consecutive elderly patients over 65 years of age with significant comorbid conditions who underwent open cholecytectomy under epidural anesthesia for the surgical treatment of gallstone disease between January 1, 2009 and December 31, 2019, all performed by one surgeon.

Results: Mean age was found 77 \pm 16 years. Fifty of the patients (62.5%) were females. Forty-seven patients (58.75%) showed an American Society of Anesthesiologist Physical Status (ASA, PS) of \geq 3. The most frequently associated comorbidity involved the cardiovascular system (46 patients, 57.5%). Surgical indications were acute cholecystitis (AC) in 37 patients (46.25%) and chronic cholecystitis in 32 patients (40%). Mean operation time was 55 \pm 22 minutes. Hospital stay was mean 12 \pm 5 days. Total complication rate was 38.75%, and pulmonary complication was the most frequently encountered (13.75%). 30-day mortality was seen in 5 patients (6.25%). Conclusion: In older, high-risk gallstone patients, an open cholecystectomy with epidural anesthesia may be recommended. For this patient population, the mortality and morbidity rates are acceptable.

Keywords:. Gallstone disease, high risk patients, comorbid conditions, epidural anesthesia, open cholecystectomy

Amaç: Bu çalışmanın amacı, önemli komorbid durumları olan yaşlı hastalarda epidural anestezi altında açık kolesistektomi ile safra taşı hastalığının cerrahi tedavisini değerlendirmektir.

Gereç ve Yöntem: 1 Ocak 2009 ile 31 Aralık 2019 tarihleri arasında safra kesesi taşı cerrahi tedavisi için epidural anestezi altında açık kolesitektomi uygulanan, önemli komorbid durumları olan 65 yaş üstü 80 ardışık yaşlı hastayı geriye dönük olarak inceledik. Hastalar tek bir cerrah tarafından ameliyat edildi.

Bulgular: Ortalama yaş 77 \pm 16 yıl olarak bulundu. Hastaların 50'si (% 62.5) kadındı. Kırk yedi hastanın (% 58.75) Amerikan Anestezi Uzmanları Derneği Fiziksel Skoru >3 idi. Eşlik eden en sık komorbiditeler kardiyovasküler sistemle ilgili idi (46 hasta, % 57.5). Cerrahi endikasyonlar 37 hastada (% 46.25) akut kolesistit (AK), 32 hastada (% 40) kronik kolesistit idi. Ortalama operasyon süresi 55 \pm 22 dakika idi. Ortalama hastanede kalış süresi 12 \pm 5 gündü. Toplam komplikasyon oranı % 38.75 olup, en sık pulmoner komplikasyonlar (% 13.75) ile karşılaşıldı. 30 günlük mortalite 5 hastada (% 6.25) görüldü.

Sonuç: İleri yaşlı, yüksek riskli safra taşı hastalarında epidural anestezi ile açık kolesistektomi önerilebilir. Bu hasta popülasyonu için mortalite ve morbidite oranları kabul edilebilir düzeydedir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Safra taşı hastalığı, yüksek riskli hastalar, komorbid durumlar, epidural anestezi, açık kolesistektomi

Yazışma Adresi/Address for Correspondence: Dr. Etem Alhan, Karadeniz Technical University Faculty Of Medicine, Farabi Hospital, Department of General Surgery; Trabzon/Turkey, E-mail: alhanea@gmail.com Geliş tarihi/Received: 26.09.2021 Kabul tarihi/Accepted: 07.03.2022 Cilt/Volume 47 Yıl/Year 2022

INTRODUCTION

Cholelytihiasis is seen in 3-20% of the world population, and the incidence increases with age^{1,2}. By age 60, gallstone disease is seen in 30% of the population, which increases up to 80% by age 90³. With the use of ultrasonography in biliary tract diseases at the beginning of 1980, both easy and noninvasive diagnosis of gallstones was provided and researches were conducted on the natural course of biliary tract stones⁴.

Most patients with gallstones are asymptomatic and will remain so throughout their lives. Approximately 15-25% of these will become symptomatic after 10-15 years of follow-up⁵. Data from large populations have shown that 2-3% of symptomatic cases develop complications such as acute cholecystitis (AC), gallstone pancreatitis, choledecolitiasis with obstruction (with or without acute cholangitis), Mirizzi syndrome, gallstone ileus and gallbladder cancer, each year. Once a complication develops, the risk of additional, often more severe, complications is approximately 30 percent per year^{3,5}.

Cholecystectomy is the most common major abdominal operation in western countries. Open cholecystectomy, which Karl Langenbuch performed successfully in 1882, has been used for more than 100 years in the treatment of symptomatic gallstones⁶. This was followed by laparoscopic cholecystectomy performed by Erich Mühe in Böblingen, Germany in 1985 and Philippe Mouret in France in 1987 developed the technique of laparoscopic cholecystectomy and played a role in its widespread use all over the world^{7,8}. Today, laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the gold standard for the treatment of symptomatic gallstones.

The absolute contraindications to laparoscopic colecystectomy are in patients with inability to tolerate general anesthesia due to severe obstructive pulmonary disease, hemodynamic instability, congestive heart failure (e.g., cardiac ejection fraction <20%), refractory coagulopathy and gallbladder cancer⁹. Because in these cases, patients cannot tolerate pneumoperitoneum created with carbon dioxide and open cholecystectomy under epidural or spinal anesthesia is required. In addition, conditions such as AC, gallbladder gangrene and empyema, previous upper abdominal surgery, pregnancy, cirrhosis, obesity, bilio-enteric fistula and ventriculoperitoneal shunt are considered as risk

factors for difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy and are believed to be relative contraindications^{9,10}. If anatomical structures cannot be clarified within a certain period of time during laparoscopic cholecystectomy, it is converted to open cholecystectomy. This is between 5% in elective cases and 20-30% in acute cholecystitis cases¹¹.

Cholecystectomy is usually performed under general anesthesia. With advanced age, both the incidence of gallstones and comorbid conditions increase, and general anesthesia can not be given for laparoscopic colcystectomy. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the results of open cholecystectomy under epidural anesthesia in difficult gallbladder conditions in elderly patients with serious comorbidities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample

A total of 80 consecutive patients (aged between 65-93 years) who had cholecystectomies from January 1, 2009 until December 31, 2019 under epidural anesthesia were evaluated. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Karadeniz Technical University Faculty of Medicine on 19.10.2020 with the decision number 2020/304. Informed consent was received from all patients for operative procedures. All cholocytectomies were performed by one experienced surgeon for gallbladder surgery (EA).

Patients were selected from the Karadeniz Technical University Farabi Hospital, Trabzon, Turkey data base between 2009-2019, using the keywords cholecystectomy, epidural anaesthesia, surgeon Etem Alhan and 65 years and older, and all patients were evaluated. Patient information was obtained from the same data system by two senior research assistants (ST, MES) unaware of each other in order to increase reliability. Electronic medical record demographics, pre-operative including data, consultations, especially anesthesia, cardiology, respiratory system, kidney and nervous system consultations, American Society of Anesthesiologist Physical Status (ASA PS), imaging data such as x-ray, ultrasonography, magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP), endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), surgical details (surgical indication, operating time) and post-operative data (complications mortality and hospital stay (from operation to discharge), pathology reports were recorded and analyzed.

Usta et al.

Clinical evaluation

The timing of cholecystectomy in patients presenting with AC was within one week after the onset of sypmtoms. Following admision to the hospital, conservative treatment was initiated immediately. The patients were evaluated in terms of physical examination, laboratory, x-ray, ultrasonography and organ function. Medical consultation were obtianed when indicated for cardiology, respiratory, kidney, and neural systems. All patients were given second generation cephalosporins. The patients were operated in good condition in one week. Other patients were evaluated under normal circumstances as elective procedures. Inform consent for operative procedures and organ function was obtained from all patients. The severity of AC was determined by new diagnostic criteria in accordance with the revised Tokyo guideline¹².

Bilious drain with elevated bilirubin in the drain was defined as biliary leakage. Surgical site infection was defined according to surgical site infection guidelines. Intraabdominal abscess was defined as culture positive purulent collection. High-risk patients were defined as ASA PS \geq 3.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using statistical package SPSS 13.01 for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA, and serial number 9069728). Results were presented as the mean±standard deviation in quantitative variables and as frequencies and percentages in qualitative variables. Chi-square test was used for the ASA PS groups and the t-test was used to examine the difference between high-risk and non-high-risk ASA PS. P<0.05 was accepted significant.

RESULTS

A total of 80 patients underwent open cholecystectomies during the research period. Mean age was 77 \pm 16 years. Fifty of the patients (62.5%) were females (Table 1). ASA PS was found as follows: 33 patients (41.25%) ASA II, 28 patients (35%) ASA III, and 19 patients (23.75%) ASA IV. In forty-seven patients (58.75%), ASA PS was found to be greater than III.

Most of the underlying diseases of the patients included cardiovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, respiratory diseases, renal diseases, and neurologic disease (Table 1). Since patient's physical status was more important than underlying diseases, we used ASA PS to assess the fitness of the cases before surgery. ASA PS I-IV were compared by chi-square test, and there were no significant differences between the groups (P>0.05). The percentage of high-risk patients (defined as ASA PS \geq 3) was significantly different from the ASA PS II (P<0.043).

Table 1. Perioperative	data of the	e patients
------------------------	-------------	------------

% (number) or mean \pm standart deviationAge (year) 77 ± 16 Sex 77 ± 16 Female $62.5 (50)$ MaleASA PS $37.5 (30)$ ASA PS $41.25 (33)$ ASA PS IIASA PS II $41.25 (33)$ $35 (28)$ ASA PS IV $23.75 (19)$ Comorbidity $20 (16)$ Respiratory diseasesHypertension $55 (44)$ $20 (16)$ Respiratory diseases $12.5 (10)$ Renal diseasesUltrasonography $100 (80)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio $17.5 (14)$ pancreatographyIndication for surgery Acute calculous cholecystitis $46.25 (37)$ $46.25 (37)$ Grade IIIndication for surgery Acute calculous cholecystitis $40 (32)$ $CholedecholithiasisBarba II23.75 (19)Choledecholithiasis8.75 (7)Acute pancreatitisCholedecholithiasis5(4)ERCP10 (8)Operating time (min)55\pm22Time since onset of4\pm1.9$		
mean±standart deviationAge (year) 77 ± 16 Sex 77 ± 16 Female $62.5 (50)$ MaleASA PS $37.5 (30)$ ASA PS $41.25 (33)$ $35 (28)$ ASA PS II $35 (28)$ $23.75 (19)$ Comorbidity $23.75 (19)$ Comorbidity $20 (16)$ Respiratory diseasesHypertension $55 (44)$ $20 (16)$ Respiratory diseases $12.5 (10)$ $12.5 (10)$ Neurologic problems $2 (2)$ Radiologic investigation $Ultrasonography$ $100 (80)$ $12.5 (10)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio pancreatography $17.5 (14)$ $12.5 (10)$ Indication for surgery Acute calculous cholecystitis $46.25 (37)$ $46.25 (37)$ $Grade IIIndication for surgeryAcute calculous cholecystitis40 (32)CholedecholithiasisBartor8.75 (7)Acute pancreatitis5 (4)ERCP10 (8)0Operating time (min)Operating time (min)55\pm22Time since onset of4\pm1.9$	Characteristic	65 yr>
Age (year) 77 ± 16 Sex77±16Female62.5 (50)Male37.5 (30)ASA PS41.25 (33)ASA PS II41.25 (33)ASA PS III35 (28)ASA PS IV23.75 (19)Comorbidity23.75 (19)Comorbidity20 (16)Respiratory disease12.5 (10)Renal diseases12.5 (10)Neurologic problems2 (2)Radiologic investigation17.5 (14)Ultrasonography100 (80)Magnetic resonance cholangio17.5 (14)pancreatography12.5(10)Computerized tomography12.5(10)Computerized tomography46.25 (37)Grade I11.25 (9)Grade II11.25 (9)Chonic calculous cholecystitis40 (32)Choledecholithiasis8.75 (7)Acute pancreatifis5 (4)ERCP10 (8)Operating time (min)55±22Time since onset of4±1.9		
Age (year) 77 ± 16 Sex $Female$ $62.5 (50)$ Male $37.5 (30)$ ASA PS $41.25 (33)$ ASA PS II $41.25 (33)$ ASA PS III $35 (28)$ ASA PS IV $23.75 (19)$ Comorbidity $20 (16)$ Respiratory disease $57.5 (44)$ Diabetes mellitus $20 (16)$ Respiratory diseases $12.5 (10)$ Renal diseases $12.5 (10)$ Neurologic problems $2 (2)$ Radiologic investigation $17.5 (14)$ Ultrasonography $100 (80)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio $17.5 (14)$ pancreatography $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $46.25 (37)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade II $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9		
Set of all $1.1.2$ Sex $62.5 (50)$ Male $37.5 (30)$ ASA PS $41.25 (33)$ ASA PS $41.25 (33)$ ASA PS II $41.25 (33)$ ASA PS III $35 (28)$ ASA PS IV $23.75 (19)$ Comorbidity $23.75 (19)$ Comorbidity $20 (16)$ Respiratory disease $12.5 (10)$ Renal diseases $12.5 (10)$ Renal diseases $12.5 (10)$ Neurologic problems $2 (2)$ Radiologic investigation $17.5 (14)$ Ultrasonography $100 (80)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio $17.5 (14)$ pancreatography $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $46.25 (37)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade II $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Chronic calculous cholecystitis $40 (32)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9		
Female $62.5 (50)$ $37.5 (30)$ ASA PS $37.5 (30)$ ASA PS II $41.25 (33)$ ASA PS III $35 (28)$ ASA PS IV $23.75 (19)$ Comorbidity $23.75 (19)$ Comorbidity $20 (16)$ Respiratory diseases $12.5 (10)$ Renal diseases $12.5 (10)$ Renal diseases $12.5 (10)$ Neurologic problems $2 (2)$ Radiologic investigation $100 (80)$ Ultrasonography $100 (80)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio $17.5 (14)$ pancreatography $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $12.5(10)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade II $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9		77±16
Male $37.5 (30)$ ASA PS $41.25 (33)$ ASA PS II $41.25 (33)$ ASA PS IV $23.75 (19)$ Comorbidity $23.75 (19)$ Comorbidity $20 (16)$ Respiratory diseases $12.5 (10)$ Renal diseases $12.5 (10)$ Neurologic problems $2 (2)$ Radiologic investigation $100 (80)$ Ultrasonography $100 (80)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio $17.5 (14)$ pancreatography $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $46.25 (37)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade II $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9	Sex	
ASA PS $41.25 (33)$ ASA PS II $41.25 (33)$ ASA PS IV $23.75 (19)$ Comorbidity $23.75 (19)$ Comorbidity $23.75 (19)$ Comorbidity $20 (16)$ Respiratory diseases $12.5 (10)$ Renal diseases $12.5 (10)$ Neurologic problems $2 (2)$ Radiologic investigation $100 (80)$ Ultrasonography $100 (80)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio $17.5 (14)$ pancreatography $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $12.5(10)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade II $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9	Female	62.5 (50)
ASA PS II $41.25 (33)$ ASA PS III $35 (28)$ ASA PS IV $23.75 (19)$ Comorbidity $23.75 (19)$ Cardiovascular disease $57.5 (46)$ Hypertension $55 (44)$ Diabetes mellitus $20 (16)$ Respiratory diseases $12.5 (10)$ Renal diseases $12.5 (10)$ Neurologic problems $2 (2)$ Radiologic investigation $100 (80)$ Ultrasonography $100 (80)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio $17.5 (14)$ pancreatography $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $12.5(10)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade II $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9	Male	37.5 (30)
ASA PS III $35 (28)$ ASA PS IV $23.75 (19)$ Comorbidity $23.75 (19)$ Cardiovascular disease $57.5 (46)$ Hypertension $55 (44)$ Diabetes mellitus $20 (16)$ Respiratory diseases $12.5 (10)$ Renal diseases $12.5 (10)$ Neurologic problems $2 (2)$ Radiologic investigation $100 (80)$ Ultrasonography $100 (80)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio $17.5 (14)$ pancreatography $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $12.5(10)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade II $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9	ASA PS	
ASA PS IV23.75 (19)Comorbidity (16) Cardiovascular disease57.5 (46)Hypertension55 (44)Diabetes mellitus20 (16)Respiratory diseases12.5 (10)Renal diseases12.5 (10)Neurologic problems2 (2)Radiologic investigation100 (80)Magnetic resonance cholangio17.5 (14)pancreatography12.5(10)Computerized tomography12.5(10)Grade I11.25 (9)Grade II11.25 (9)Grade III23.75(19)Choledecholithiasis8.75 (7)Acute pancreatitis5 (4)ERCP10 (8)Operating time (min)55 \pm 22Time since onset of4 \pm 1.9	ASA PS II	41.25 (33)
Comorbidity Cardiovascular disease $57.5 (46)$ Hypertension $55 (44)$ Diabetes mellitus $20 (16)$ Respiratory diseases $12.5 (10)$ Renal diseases $12.5 (10)$ Neurologic problems $2 (2)$ Radiologic investigation $100 (80)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio $17.5 (14)$ pancreatography $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $12.5(10)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade II $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Chronic calculous cholecystitis $40 (32)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9	ASA PS III	35 (28)
Cardiovascular disease $57.5 (46)$ Hypertension $55 (44)$ Diabetes mellitus $20 (16)$ Respiratory diseases $12.5 (10)$ Renal diseases $12.5 (10)$ Neurologic problems $2 (2)$ Radiologic investigation $100 (80)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio $17.5 (14)$ pancreatography $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $12.5(10)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade II $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9	ASA PS IV	23.75 (19)
Hypertension 55 (44)Diabetes mellitus 20 (16)Respiratory diseases 12.5 (10)Renal diseases 12.5 (10)Neurologic problems 2 (2)Radiologic investigation 100 (80)Magnetic resonance cholangio 17.5 (14)pancreatography $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $12.5(10)$ Grade I 11.25 (9)Grade II 11.25 (9)Grade III $23.75(19)$ Chronic calculous cholecystitis 40 (32)Choledecholithiasis 8.75 (7)Acute pancreatitis 5 (4)ERCP 10 (8)Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9	Comorbidity	
Diabetes mellitus $20 (16)$ Respiratory diseases $12.5 (10)$ Renal diseases $12.5 (10)$ Neurologic problems $2 (2)$ Radiologic investigation $100 (80)$ Ultrasonography $100 (80)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio $17.5 (14)$ pancreatography $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $12.5(10)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade II $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Chronic calculous cholecystitis $40 (32)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9	Cardiovascular disease	57.5 (46)
Respiratory diseases $12.5 (10)$ Renal diseases $12.5 (10)$ Neurologic problems $2 (2)$ Radiologic investigation $100 (80)$ Ultrasonography $100 (80)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio $17.5 (14)$ pancreatography $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $12.5(10)$ Indication for surgery $46.25 (37)$ Acute calculous cholecystitis $46.25 (9)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Chronic calculous cholecystitis $40 (32)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9		55 (44)
Renal diseases $12.5 (10)$ Neurologic problems $2 (2)$ Radiologic investigation $100 (80)$ Ultrasonography $100 (80)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio $17.5 (14)$ pancreatography $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $12.5(10)$ Indication for surgery $46.25 (37)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade II $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Chronic calculous cholecystitis $40 (32)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9	Diabetes mellitus	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Respiratory diseases	12.5 (10)
Radiologic investigation Ultrasonography $100 (80)$ $17.5 (14)$ $12.5(10)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio pancreatography $17.5 (14)$ $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $12.5(10)$ Indication for surgery Acute calculous cholecystitis $46.25 (37)$ $11.25 (9)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade IIIChronic calculous cholecystitis $40 (32)$ $CholedecholithiasisCholedecholithiasis8.75 (7)Acute pancreatitisERCP10 (8)Operating time (min)55\pm22Time since onset of4\pm1.9$	Renal diseases	12.5 (10)
Ultrasonography $100 (80)$ Magnetic resonance cholangio $17.5 (14)$ pancreatography $12.5(10)$ Computerized tomography $12.5(10)$ Indication for surgery $46.25 (37)$ Acute calculous cholecystitis $46.25 (9)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Chronic calculous cholecystitis $40 (32)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9	Neurologic problems	2 (2)
Magnetic resonance cholangio pancreatography17.5 (14) 12.5(10)Computerized tomography12.5(10)Indication for surgery Acute calculous cholecystitis46.25 (37) 11.25 (9)Grade I11.25 (9)Grade III23.75(19)Chronic calculous cholecystitis40 (32) 5 (4)Choledecholithiasis8.75 (7) 4 (10)Acute pancreatitis5 (4)ERCP10 (8)Operating time (min)55±22Time since onset of4±1.9	Radiologic investigation	
pancreatography Computerized tomography12.5(10)Indication for surgery Acute calculous cholecystitis46.25 (37)Grade I11.25 (9)Grade II11.25 (9)Grade III23.75(19)Chronic calculous cholecystitis40 (32)Choledecholithiasis8.75 (7)Acute pancreatitis5 (4)ERCP10 (8)Operating time (min)55±22Time since onset of4±1.9	Ultrasonography	
Computerized tomographyIndication for surgeryAcute calculous cholecystitisGrade I11.25 (9)Grade II11.25 (9)Grade III23.75(19)Chronic calculous cholecystitis40 (32)Choledecholithiasis8.75 (7)Acute pancreatitis5 (4)ERCP10 (8)Operating time (min)55±22Time since onset of44.25 (37)	Magnetic resonance cholangio	17.5 (14)
Indication for surgery $46.25 (37)$ Acute calculous cholecystitis $46.25 (37)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Chronic calculous cholecystitis $40 (32)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9		12.5(10)
Acute calculous cholecystitis $46.25 (37)$ Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade II $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Chronic calculous cholecystitis $40 (32)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9		
Grade I $11.25 (9)$ Grade II $11.25 (9)$ Grade III $23.75(19)$ Chronic calculous cholecystitis $40 (32)$ Choledecholithiasis $8.75 (7)$ Acute pancreatitis $5 (4)$ ERCP $10 (8)$ Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9	Indication for surgery	
Grade II 11.25 (9)Grade III $23.75(19)$ Chronic calculous cholecystitis 40 (32)Choledecholithiasis 8.75 (7)Acute pancreatitis 5 (4)ERCP 10 (8)Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9	Acute calculous cholecystitis	46.25 (37)
Grade III $23.75(19)$ Chronic calculous cholecystitis40 (32)Choledecholithiasis 8.75 (7)Acute pancreatitis 5 (4)ERCP10 (8)Operating time (min) 55 ± 22 Time since onset of 4 ± 1.9	Grade I	
Chronic calculous cholecystitis40 (32)Choledecholithiasis8.75 (7)Acute pancreatitis5 (4)ERCP10 (8)Operating time (min)55±22Time since onset of4±1.9	Grade II	11.25 (9)
Choledecholithiasis8.75 (7)Acute pancreatitis5 (4)ERCP10 (8)Operating time (min)55±22Time since onset of4±1.9	Grade III	23.75(19)
Acute pancreatitis5 (4)ERCP10 (8)Operating time (min)55±22Time since onset of4±1.9	Chronic calculous cholecystitis	40 (32)
ERCP10 (8)Operating time (min)55±22Time since onset of4±1.9	Choledecholithiasis	8.75 (7)
Operating time (min)55±22Time since onset of4±1.9	Acute pancreatitis	5 (4)
Time since onset of 4±1.9		
		4±1.9
	symptoms/days to the beginning	
of the operation	of the operation	
Postoperative stay (day) 12±5	Postoperative stay (day)	12±5

ASA PS: American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status ERCP: endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography

Preoperative ultrasound was used in 80 patients, MRCP in 14 patients (17.5%) and computerized tomography in 10 patients (12.5%) (Table 1). ERCP was performed in 7 patients (8.75%) for Cilt/Volume 47 Yıl/Year 2022

choledocholitiasis and in 1 patient (1.25%) for biliary fistula.

Surgical indications were AC in 37 patients (46.25%), chronic cholecystitis in 32 patients (40%), and cholodecolitiasis in 7 patients (8.75%) and acute

pancreatitis 4 patients (5%) of patients. Grade III was most common in patients with AC according to Tokyo guidelines (Table 1)¹². There was no difference between acute and chronic cholecytitis except leukocye count in preoperative laboratory examination of the patients (Table 2).

Table 2. Perioperative biochemical values of the patients

	Acute calculous cholecystitis	Chronic calculous cholecystitis
WBC count $(4^3_10^3/\mu L)$	13623± 5227*	8.12± 2.66
C-reactive protein (CRP 1.3 <mg dl)<="" th=""><th>24.12±9.2†</th><th>1.3±0.72</th></mg>	24.12±9.2†	1.3±0.72
Total bilirubin (0.1 to 1.2 mg/dL)	1.73 ± 1.38	1.33 ± 1.29
AST (8- 40 U/L)	79 ± 128	57±43
ALT (7-56 U/L)	95± 77	74± 66

WBC: white blood cell; AST: aspartate transaminase; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; *P<0.05, † P<0.01 when compared to the chronic calculous cholecystitis group.

Table 3. Postoperative complications

Postoperative complications	% (number)	
Pulmonary		
Atelectesia	13.75 (11)	
Pleural effusion	3.75 (3)	
Pneumonia	3.75 (3)	
Wound infection	7.5 (6)	
Congestive heart failure	3.75 (3)	
Bilary fistula	3.75(3)	
Pulmonary embolism	1.25 (1)	
Intraabdominal abscess	1.25(1)	
Acute renal faillure	1.25(1)	
Total	38.75 (34)	

Table 4. Causes of 30-day mortality

	% (Number)
Sepsis	0
Pneumonia	1.25(1)
Intra abdominal abscess	1.25(1)
Pulmonary failure	2:5 (2)
Congestive heart failure	1.25 (1)
Total	6.25 (5)

Operative time was found as 55 ± 22 minutes. Thirtyfour patients (38.75%) developed postoperative complications (Table 3). Pulmonary complications occurred in 17 patients (21.25%). Mortality was seen in 5 patients (6.25%) (Table 4). Mortality occurred in 2 cases of acute cholecystitis and in 3 cases due to other causes. Causes of mortality were sepsis in two cases, pulmonary failure in two cases and congestive heart failure in one case (Table 4). Postoperative hospital stay was 12 ± 5 days.

DISCUSSION

Average life expectancy will increase throughout the world in the next 30 years^{2,3,13-16}. Given the progressive aging of the population and the increased prevalence of gallbladder stones in elderly adults, this explains why cholecystectomy is still more common than cataral extraction in the elderly^{1,2,5,13-16}.

Usta et al.

Selection of elderly patients varies in the literature as age 60, 65, and 75. The World Health Organization (WHO) accepts elderly age as that of 65 and over. In this study, we selected the age of 65 years and over as elderly ^{15,16}. Although the age of onset was 65 years in our study, mean age was higher with 77 +16 years.

In the elderly population, comorbid conditions increase with age, leading to raised mortality and complications. Patient's physical status or surgical risk can be assesed with some score systems as ASA, P-Possum, Apache II and Charlson index^{14,16}. We chose ASA PS system in this study. Besides these score systems, some new frailty score systems such as cognition, selfhealing ability and movement disorders are used to define high-risk patients for postoperative complications in the elderly population. However, these systems have not been developed yet for bile duct diseases¹⁶.

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is performed usually general anesthesia by providing under pneumoperitoneum. Galizia et al. have reported that significant physiologic changes due to pneumoperitoneum can occur during the laparoscopic procedure, mainly in aged patients with concomitant comorbid diseases¹⁷. On the other hand, pneumoperitoneum disrupt respiratory mechanism leads to respiratory acidosis, raises cardiac sympathetic activity, and increases the chance of fatal arrhythmias^{9,17}. In addition, general anesthesia is considered contraindicated for laparoscopic surgery in patients with limited cardiac reserve, obstructive pulmonary disease, and unstable hemodynamics¹⁷. Therefore, in this study, we applied open cholecystectomy under epidural anesthesia in order to minimize cardiopulmonary changes in elderly, high risk patients. This selection may help explain the low mortality in our study.

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy has become the gold standard for the treatment of gallbladder stones in young adults and the elderly patients. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy reduces postoperative pain, hospital stay and hospital cost. Mortality and morbidity range from 0-4% and 3-34%, respectively. There is no difference between young adults and the elderly without comorbid conditions^{1,2,15,16,18-23}. However, mortality increases up to 12% and morbidity to 60% in elderly patients with significant comorbid conditions^{15,16,18-23}. In this study, we found that mortality was 6.25% and morbidity was 38.75% in the patient group with a mean age of 77 \pm 16 and ASA \geq III 58.75%.

Age appears to be the key factor in the surgical treatment of gallstones. As age increases, so do and associated symptoms gallstones and complications such as AC14-16,22-27. On the other hand, comorbidity and surgical risk increase with age²⁶⁻²⁷. Age 80 years and over seems to be the critical age for the surgical treatment of gallstone. Surgical risk increases at this age, which also rises the possibility of complications and mortality 26-27. Kuy et al. have shown that patients aged ≥ 80 years are ≥ 3 times more likely to need blood transfusions and require continuous mechanical ventilation ≥ 5 times more likely to develop aspiration pneumonitis 27. This suggests compatibility with our mortality and morbidity results.

AC is the most common complication of gallstones in the elderly $^{14\text{--}16,22\text{--}25}$. In our study, $46.25^{-}\!\!/_{\odot}$ of the cases had AC. How to treat patients with AC is controversial. Today, laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the accepted treatment method in patients without morbidity at first admission within the first week during working hours14,16,20-25. However, elderly, high-risk patients can be treated with conservative, laparoscopic cholecystectomy or percutaneous cholecytocystomy. In conservative treatment, patients re-apply due to relapse in a short time¹⁴⁻ ^{16,20,22-25}. In percutaneous cholecystostomy, mid-term mortality is higher than laparoscopic cholecystectomy^{14-16,23,28}. In the case of AC of 47,500 persons, Wiggins et al. have performed 89.7% conservative treatment, 7.5% cholecytectomy and 2.8% cholecystostomy14. They found that 30-day mortality increased in the emergency cholecystectomy group (11.6%) compared to those managed conservatively (9.9%) in the short term (p < 0.001). On the other hand, this was offset by the long-term benefits of cholecystectomy with a reduced 1-year mortality (20.8 vs. 27.1% for those managed conservatively) (p<0.001). Management with percutaneous cholecystostomy demonstrated increased 30-day and 1-year mortality (13.4% and 35.0%, respectively)¹⁴. In our study, 6.25% mortality in elderly, high-risk patients is an acceptable mortality rate compared to this study with high number of patients of 47,500 persons.

In recent years, AC patients have been classified by Tokyo classification according to their clinical status^{12-16,20,22-25}. Grade I and Grade II patients are successfully and safely treated with early cholecystectomy^{12-16,20,22-25}. However, the treatment of Grade III patients, ie patients with organ failure,

Cilt/Volume 47 Yıl/Year 2022

by early cholecystectomy or percutaneous cholecystostomy is not yet apparent. The newly published CHOCOLATE trial (NTR2666) study showed that cholecystectomy was better than percutaneous cholecystostomy in AC Grade III patients similar to our study²⁹. We operated on elderly, high-risk patients with organ failure under epidural anesthesia with open cholecystectomy with acceptable mortality. Our method can be suggested as an alternative method in hospitals with technical deficiencies.

This study has some limitations. First, retrospective analysis carries the potential fortreatment selection bias and systematic error. Secondly, there is lack of randomization and control groups in the study.

In conclusion, treatment options for elderly, high-risk gallstone patients are not limited by age. In addition, the severity of the disease or poor physical condition plays an important role for the treatment of this disease. Open cholecystectomy using epidural anesthesia maybe considered for these patients with acceptable mortality as an alternative treatment method in hospitals with limited resources and expertise.

Çıkar Çatışması: Yazarlar çıkar çatışması beyan etmemişlerdir. Finansal Destek: Yazarlar finansal destek beyan etmemişlerdir.

Author Contributions: Concept/Design : ST, EA; Data acquisition: MAU, MER, MU; Data analysis and interpretation: MAU, ST, MU, EA; Drafting manuscript: MAU, ST, MER, MU, EA; Critical revision of manuscript: MAU, ST, MER, MU, EA; Final approval and accountability: MAU, ST, MER, MU, EA; Technical or material support: ST, MER, MU; Supervision: MAU, EA; Securing funding (if available): n/a.

Ethical Approval: For this study, ethical approval was obtained by the decision No. 24237859-720 dated 06.11.2020 from the Black Sea Technical University Rectorate KTU Faculty of Medicine Scientific Research Ethics Committee.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Conflict of Interest: Authors declared no conflict of interest. Financial Disclosure: Authors declared no financial support

REFERENCES

- Tang B, Cushieri A. Conversion during laparoscopic cholecystectomy: risk factors and effects on patient outcome. J Gastrointes Surg. 2006;10:1081-91.
- Festi D, Dormi A, Capodicasa S, Staniscia T, Attili F, Loria P et al. Incidence of gallstone disease in Italy: results from a multicenter, populationbased Italian

study (the MICOL project). World J Gastroenterol. 2008;14:5282-9.

- Ratner J, Lisbona A, Rosenbloom M, Palayew M, Szabolcsi S, Tupaz T. The prevalence of gallstone disease in very old institutionalized persons. JAMA. 1991;265:902-3.
- 4. Ralls PW, Jeffrey RB Jr, Kane RA. Ultrasonography. Gastroenterol Clin North Am. 2002;31:801-25.
- Shabanzadeh DM, Sørensen LT, Jørgensen T. A prediction rule for risk stratification of incidentally discovered gallstones: results from a large cohort study. Gastroenterology. 2016;150:156-67.
- Jarnagin WR (editor). Biliary tract disease. Blumgart's surgery of the liver, biliary tract, and pancreas. 5th ed., Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders, 2012;489-801.
- 7. Reynolds W Jr. The first laparoscopic cholecystectomy. JSLS. 2001;5:89-94.
- Polychronidis A, Laftsidis P, Bounovas A, Simopoulos C. Twenty years of laparoscopic cholecystectomy: Philippe Mouret-March 17, 1987. JSLS. 2008;12:109-11.
- Keus F, Broeders IA, van Laarhoven CJ. Gallstone disease: Surgical aspects of symptomatic cholecystolithiasis and acute cholecystitis. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol. 2006;20:1031-51.
- Steinert R, Nestler G, Sagynaliev E, Müller J, Lippert H, Reymond MA. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy and gallbladder cancer. J Surg Oncol. 2006;93:682-9.
- Malik AM, Laghari AA, Talpur AH, Khan A. Iatrogenic biliary injuries during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. A continuing threat. Int J Surg. 2008;6:392-5.
- Yokoe M, Takada T, Strasberg SM, Solomkin JS, Mayumi T, Gomi H et al. New diagnostic criteria and severity assessment of acute cholecystitis in revised Tokyo Guidelines. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci. 2012;19:578-85.
- Borzellino G, de Manzoni G de, Ricci F, Castaldini G, Guglielmi A, Cordian E. Emergency cholecystostomy and subsequent cholecystectomy for acute gallstone cholecystitis in the elderly. Br J Surg. 1999;86:1521-5.
- Wiggins T, Markar SR, Mackenzie H, Jamel S, Askari A, Faiz O et al. Evolution in the management of acute cholecystitis in the elderly: population-based cohort study. Surg Endosc. 2018;32:4078-86.
- Escartín A, González M, Cuello E, Pinillos A, Muriel P, Merichal M et al. Acute cholecystitis in very elderly patients: disease management, outcomes and risk factors for compilation. Surg Res Pract. 2019:9709242.
- Pisano M, Ceresoli M, Cimbanassi S, Gurusamy K, Coccolini F, Borzellino G et al. 2017 WSES and SICG guidelines on acute calculous cholecystitis in elderly population. World J Emerg Surg. 2019;14:1-16.
- Galizia G, Prizio G, Lieto E, Castellano P, Pelosio L, Imperatore V et al. Hemodynamic and pulmonary changes during open, carbon dioxide pneumoperitoneum, and abdominal wall-lifting

Yazar Katkıları: Çalışma konsepti/Tasanmı: ST, EA; Veri toplama: MAU, MER, MU; Veri analizi ve yorumlama: MAU, ST, MU, EA; Yazı taslağı: MAU, ST, MER, MU, EA; İçeriğin eleştirel incelenmesi: MAU, ST, MER, MU, EA; Son onay ve sorumluluk: MAU, ST, MER, MU, EA; Teknik ve malzeme desteği: ST, MER, MU; Süpervizyon: MAU, EA; Fon sağlama (mevcut ise): yok.

Etik Onay: Bu çalışma için Karadeniz Teknik Üniversitesi Rektörlüğü KTÜ Tıp Fakültesi Bilimsel Araştırmalar Etik Kurulu Başkanlığından 06.11.2020 tarih ve 24237859-720 sayılı kararı ile etik onay alınmıştır. Hakem Değerlendirmesi: Dış bağımsız.

Cukurova Medical Journal

Usta et al.

cholecystectomy: Aprospective, randomized study. Surg Endosc. 2001;15:477-83.

- Yetkin G, Uludag M, Oba S, Citgez B, Paksoy I. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in elderly patients. JSLS. 2009;13:587-91.
- Loureiro ER, Klein SC, Pavan CC, Almeida LD, da Silva FH, Paulo DN. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in 960 elderly patients. Rev Col Bras Cir. 2011;38:155-9.
- Pearse RM, Moreno RP, Bauer P, Pelosi P, Metnitz P, Spies C et al. Mortality after surgery in Europe: a 7 day cohort study. The Lancet. 2012;380:1059-65.
- 21. Bhandari TR, Shahi S, Bhandari R, Poudel R. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the elderly: an experience at a tertiary care hospital in Western Nepal. Surg Res Pract. 2017;2017:8204578.
- Lee SI, Na BG, Yoo YS, Mun SP, Choi NK. Clinical outcome for laparoscopic cholecystectomy in extremely elderly patients. Ann Surg Treat Res. 2015;88:145-51.
- Loozen CS, van Ramshorst B, van Santvoort HC, Boerma D. Acute cholecystitis in elderly patients: A case for early cholecystectomy. J Visc Surg. 2018;155:99-103.

- 24. Glenn F. Surgical management of acute cholecystitis in patients 65 years of age and older. Ann Surg. 1981;193:56-9.
- Cho JY, Han H, Yoon Y, Ahn KS. Risk factors for acute cholecystitis and a complicated clinical course in patients with symptomatic cholelithiasis. Arch Surg. 2010;145:329-33.
- Kuy S, Sosa JA, Roman SA, Desai R, Rosenthal RA. Age matters: a study of clinical and economic outcomes following cholecystectomy in elderly Americans. Am J Surg. 2011;201:789-96.
- Nikfarjam M, Yeo D, Perini M, Fink MA, Muralidharan V, Starkey G et al. Outcomes of cholecystectomy for treatment of acute cholecystitis in octogenarians. ANZ J Surg. 2014;84:943-8.
- Zerem E, Omerovic' S. Can percutaneous cholecystostomy be a definitive management for both acute calculous and acalculous cholecystitis? J Clin Gastroenterol. 2012;46:251.
- Loozen CS, van Santvoort HC, van Duijvendijk P, Besselink MG, Gouma DJ, Nieuwenhuijzen GA et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy versus percutaneous catheter drainage for acute cholecystitis in high risk patients (CHOCOLATE): multicentre randomised clinical trial. Br Med J. 2018;363:k3965.