

Konuralp Journal of Mathematics

Journal Homepage: www.dergipark.gov.tr/konuralpjournalmath e-ISSN: 2147-625X



Some Additive Inequalities for Heinz Operator Mean

Silvestru Sever Dragomir^{1*}

¹Mathematics, College of Engineering & Science, Victoria University, PO Box 14428, Melbourne City, MC 8001, Australia *Corresponding author E-mail: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au

Abstract

In this paper we obtain some new additive inequalities for Heinz operator mean, namely the operator $H_{\nu}(A,B) := \frac{1}{2} (A \sharp_{\nu} B + A \sharp_{1-\nu} B)$ where $A \sharp_{\nu} B := A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2}\right)^{\nu} A^{1/2}$ is the weighted geometric mean for the positive invertible operators A and A, and A are an analysis and A and

Keywords: Young's Inequality, Real functions, Arithmetic mean-Geometric mean inequality, Heinz means 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A63, 47A30, 15A60, 26D15, 26D10.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper A, B are positive invertible operators on a complex Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. We use the following notations for operators and $v \in [0,1]$

$$A\nabla_{\mathbf{v}}B := (1-\mathbf{v})A + \mathbf{v}B,$$

the weighted operator arithmetic mean, and

$$A\sharp_{\mathbf{v}}B := A^{1/2} \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \right)^{\mathbf{v}} A^{1/2},$$

the weighted operator geometric mean [14]. When $v = \frac{1}{2}$ we write $A\nabla B$ and $A\sharp B$ for brevity, respectively. Define the Heinz operator mean by

$$H_{\mathcal{V}}(A,B) := \frac{1}{2} \left(A \sharp_{\mathcal{V}} B + A \sharp_{1-\mathcal{V}} B \right).$$

The following interpolatory inequality is obvious

$$A \sharp B \le H_V(A, B) \le A \nabla B \tag{1.1}$$

for any $v \in [0,1]$.

We recall that Specht's ratio is defined by [16]

$$S(h) := \begin{cases} \frac{h^{\frac{1}{h-1}}}{e\ln\left(h^{\frac{1}{h-1}}\right)} & \text{if } h \in (0,1) \cup (1,\infty), \\ 1 & \text{if } h = 1. \end{cases}$$
 (1.2)

It is well known that $\lim_{h\to 1} S(h) = 1$, $S(h) = S\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) > 1$ for h > 0, $h \ne 1$. The function is decreasing on (0,1) and increasing on $(1,\infty)$. The following result provides an upper and lower bound for the Heinz mean in terms of the operator geometric mean $A \sharp B$:

Theorem 1.1 (Dragomir, 2015 [6]). Assume that A and B are positive invertible operators and the constants M > m > 0 are such that

$$mA \le B \le MA$$
. (1.3)

Then we have

$$\omega_{V}(m,M)A \sharp B \le H_{V}(A,B) \le \Omega_{V}(m,M)A \sharp B, \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$\Omega_{V}(m,M) := \begin{cases}
S\left(m^{|2v-1|}\right) & \text{if } M < 1, \\
\max\left\{S\left(m^{|2v-1|}\right), S\left(M^{|2v-1|}\right)\right\} & \text{if } m \le 1 \le M, \\
S\left(M^{|2v-1|}\right) & \text{if } 1 < m
\end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\omega_{\mathbf{v}}(m,M) := \begin{cases} S\left(M^{|\mathbf{v}-\frac{1}{2}|}\right) & \text{if } M < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } m \le 1 \le M, \\ S\left(m^{|\mathbf{v}-\frac{1}{2}|}\right) & \text{if } 1 < m, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.6)$$

where $v \in [0,1]$

We consider the Kantorovich's constant defined by

$$K(h) := \frac{(h+1)^2}{4h}, \ h > 0. \tag{1.7}$$

The function K is decreasing on (0,1) and increasing on $[1,\infty)$, $K(h) \ge 1$ for any h > 0 and $K(h) = K\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)$ for any h > 0. We have:

Theorem 1.2 (Dragomir, 2015 [7]). Assume that A and B are positive invertible operators and the constants M > m > 0 are such that the condition (1.3) is valid. Then for any $v \in [0,1]$ we have

$$(A\sharp B \le) H_V(A,B) \le \exp\left[\Theta_V(m,M) - 1\right] A\sharp B \tag{1.8}$$

whore

$$\Theta_{\nu}(m,M) := \begin{cases} K\left(m^{|2\nu-1|}\right) & \text{if } M < 1, \\ \max\left\{K\left(m^{|2\nu-1|}\right), K\left(M^{|2\nu-1|}\right)\right\} & \text{if } m \le 1 \le M, \\ K\left(M^{|2\nu-1|}\right) & \text{if } 1 < m \end{cases}$$

$$(1.9)$$

ana

$$(0 \le) H_{\nu}(A, B) - A \sharp B \le \frac{1}{4m^{1-\nu}} \max_{x \in [m, M]} D\left(x^{2\nu - 1}\right) A,\tag{1.10}$$

where the function $D:(0,\infty)\to [0,\infty)$ is defined by $D(x)=(x-1)\ln x$.

The following bounds for the Heinz mean $H_{V}(A,B)$ in terms of $A\nabla B$ are also valid:

Theorem 1.3 (Dragomir, 2015 [7]). With the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 we have

$$(0 \le) A \nabla B - H_{\mathcal{V}}(A, B) \le \mathcal{V}(1 - \mathcal{V}) \Upsilon(m, M) A, \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$\Upsilon(m,M) := \begin{cases}
(m-1)\ln m & \text{if } M < 1, \\
\max\{(m-1)\ln m, (M-1)\ln M\} & \text{if } m \le 1 \le M, \\
(M-1)\ln M & \text{if } 1 < m
\end{cases} (1.12)$$

and

$$A\nabla B \exp\left[-4v\left(1-v\right)\left(\digamma\left(m,M\right)-1\right)\right] \le H_{\nu}\left(A,B\right)\left(\le A\nabla B\right) \tag{1.13}$$

where

$$F(m,M) := \begin{cases} K(m) & \text{if } M < 1, \\ \max\{K(m), K(M)\} & \text{if } m \le 1 \le M, \\ K(M) & \text{if } 1 < m. \end{cases}$$
 (1.14)

For other recent results on operator geometric mean inequalities, see [1]-[13], [15] and [17]-[18]. Motivated by the above results, we establish in this paper some inequalities for the quantities

$$H_{V}(A,B) - A \sharp B$$
 and $A \nabla B - H_{V}(A,B)$

under various assumptions for positive invertible operators A and B.

2. Bounds for $H_V(A,B) - A \sharp B$

We first notice the following simple result:

Theorem 2.1. Assume that A and B are positive invertible operators and the constants M > m > 0 are such that the condition (1.3) holds. If we consider the function $f_V : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ for $v \in [0, 1]$ defined by

$$f_{V}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(x^{V} + x^{1-V} \right),$$

then we have

$$f_{V}(m)A \le H_{V}(A,B) \le f_{V}(M)A. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. We observe that

$$f'_{v}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(v x^{v-1} + (1-v) x^{-v} \right),$$

which is positive for $x \in (0, \infty)$.

Therefore f_{ν} is increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and

$$f_{V}(m) = \min_{x \in [m,M]} f_{V}(x) \le f_{V}(x) \le \max_{x \in [m,M]} f_{V}(x) = f_{V}(M)$$

for any $x \in [m, M]$.

Using the continuous functional calculus, we have for any operator X with $mI \le X \le MI$ that

$$f_V(m)I \le \frac{1}{2} \left(X^V + X^{1-V} \right) \le f_V(M)I.$$
 (2.2)

From (1.3) we have, by multiplying both sides with $A^{-1/2}$ that

$$mI < A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} < MI$$

Now, writing the inequality (2.2) for $X = A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}$, we get

$$f_{V}(m)I \le \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \right)^{V} + \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \right)^{1-V} \right] \le f_{V}(M)I. \tag{2.3}$$

Finally, if we multiply both sides of (2.3) by $A^{1/2}$ we get the desired result (2.1).

Corollary 2.2. Let A and B be two positive operators. For positive real numbers m, m', M, M', put $h := \frac{M}{m}$, $h' := \frac{M'}{m'}$ and let $v \in [0,1]$. (i) If $0 < mI \le A \le m'I < M'I \le B \le MI$, then

$$f_{V}(h')A \le H_{V}(A,B) \le f_{V}(h)A. \tag{2.4}$$

(ii) If $0 < mI \le B \le m'I < M'I \le A \le MI$, then

$$\frac{f_{V}(h)}{h}A \le H_{V}(A,B) \le \frac{f_{V}(h')}{h'}A. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. If the condition (i) is valid, then we have for $X = A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}$

$$I < \frac{M'}{m'}I = h'I \le X \le hI = \frac{M}{m}I,$$

which, by (2.2) gives the desired result (2.4).

If the condition (ii) is valid, then we have

$$0 < \frac{1}{h}I \le X \le \frac{1}{h'}I < I,$$

which, by (2.2) gives

$$f_{V}\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)A \leq H_{V}\left(A,B\right) \leq f_{V}\left(\frac{1}{h'}\right)A$$

that is equivalent to (2.5), since

$$f_{\mathcal{V}}\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) = \frac{f_{\mathcal{V}}(h)}{h}.$$

We need the following lemma in order to prove our first main result:

Lemma 2.3. Consider the function $g_{\nu}:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ for $\nu\in(0,1)$ defined by

$$g_{\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(x^{\nu} + x^{1-\nu} \right) - \sqrt{x} \ge 0.$$
 (2.6)

171

Then $g_{V}(0) = g_{V}(1) = 0$, g_{V} is increasing on $(0, x_{V})$ with a local maximum in

$$x_{\nu} := \left(\frac{\nu}{1-\nu}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\nu}} \in (0,1),$$
 (2.7)

is decreasing on $(x_v, 1)$ with a local minimum in x = 1 and increasing on $(1, \infty)$ with $\lim_{x \to \infty} g_v(x) = \infty$.

Proof. (i). If $v \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then

$$g'_{\nu}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\nu}{x^{1-\nu}} + \frac{1-\nu}{x^{\nu}} - \frac{1}{x^{1/2}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\nu + (1-\nu)x^{1-2\nu} - x^{\frac{1-2\nu}{2}}}{x^{1-\nu}}.$$

If we denote $u = x^{\frac{1-2\nu}{2}}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} v + (1 - v)x^{1 - 2v} - x^{\frac{1 - 2v}{2}} &= (1 - v)u^2 - u + v. \\ &= (1 - v)\left(u - \frac{v}{1 - v}\right)(u - 1) \\ &= (1 - v)\left(x^{\frac{1 - 2v}{2}} - \frac{v}{1 - v}\right)\left(x^{\frac{1 - 2v}{2}} - 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $g_{v}'(x)=0$ only for x=1 and $x_{v}=\left(\frac{v}{1-v}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2v}}\in(0,1)$. Also $g_{v}'(x)>0$ for $x\in(0,x_{v})\cup(1,\infty)$ and $g_{v}'(x)<0$ for $x\in(x_{v},1)$. These imply the desired conclusion. (ii) If $v\in\left(\frac{1}{2},1\right)$, then

$$g_{v}'(x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - v + v x^{2v - 1} - x^{\frac{2v - 1}{2}}}{x^{v}}.$$

If we denote $z = x^{\frac{2\nu-1}{2}}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - v + vx^{2\nu - 1} - x^{\frac{2\nu - 1}{2}} &= vz^2 - z + 1 - v \\ &= v\left(z - \frac{1 - v}{v}\right)(z - 1) \\ &= v\left(x^{\frac{2\nu - 1}{2}} - \frac{1 - v}{v}\right)\left(x^{\frac{2\nu - 1}{2}} - 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $g_{\nu}'(x) = 0$ only for x = 1 and $x_{\nu} = \left(\frac{1-\nu}{\nu}\right)^{\frac{2}{2\nu-1}} = \left(\frac{\nu}{1-\nu}\right)^{\frac{2}{1-2\nu}} \in (0,1)$. Also $g_{\nu}'(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0,x_{\nu}) \cup (1,\infty)$ and $g_{\nu}'(x) < 0$ for $x \in (x_{\nu},1)$. These imply the desired conclusion.

The above lemma allows us to obtain various bounds for the nonnegative quantity

$$H_{V}\left(A,B\right) -A\sharp B$$

when some conditions for the involved operators A and B are known.

Theorem 2.4. Assume that A and B are positive invertible operators with $B \le A$. Then for $v \in (0,1)$ we have

$$(0 \le) H_{\mathcal{V}}(A, B) - A \sharp B \le g_{\mathcal{V}}(x_{\mathcal{V}}) A, \tag{2.8}$$

where g_V is defined by (2.6) and x_V by (2.7).

Proof. From Lemma 2.3 we have for $v \in (0,1)$ that

$$0 \le \frac{1}{2} \left(x^{\nu} + x^{1-\nu} \right) - \sqrt{x} \le g_{\nu} \left(x_{\nu} \right)$$

for any $x \in [0, 1]$.

Using the continuous functional calculus, we have for any operator *X* with $0 \le X \le I$ that

$$0 \le \frac{1}{2} \left(X^{\nu} + X^{1-\nu} \right) - X^{1/2} \le g_{\nu} \left(x_{\nu} \right) \tag{2.9}$$

for $v \in (0,1)$.

By multiplying both sides of the inequality $0 \le B \le A$ with $A^{-1/2}$ we get

$$0 \le A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \le I.$$

If we use the inequality (2.9) for $X = A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}$, then we get

$$0 \le \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right)^{\nu} + \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right)^{1-\nu} \right] - \left(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\le g_{\nu}(x_{\nu}) I$$
(2.10)

for $v \in (0,1)$.

Finally, if we multiply both sides of (2.10) with $A^{1/2}$, then we get the desired result (2.8).

Theorem 2.5. Assume that A and B are positive invertible operators and the constants $M > m \ge 0$ are such that the condition (1.3) holds. *Let* $v \in (0,1)$.

(i) If $0 \le m < M \le 1$, then

$$\gamma_{V}(m,M)A \le H_{V}(A,B) - A\sharp B \le \Gamma_{V}(m,M)A,\tag{2.11}$$

where

$$\gamma_{V}(m,M) := \begin{cases} g_{V}(m) & \text{if } 0 \le m < M \le x_{V}, \\ \min \{g_{V}(m), g_{V}(M)\} & \text{if } 0 \le m \le x_{V} \le M \le 1, \\ g_{V}(M) & \text{if } x_{V} \le m < M \end{cases}$$
(2.12)

and
$$\Gamma_{V}(m,M) := \begin{cases}
g_{V}(M) & \text{if } 0 \leq m < M \leq x_{V}, \\
g_{V}(x_{V}) & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq x_{V} \leq M \leq 1, \\
g_{V}(m) & \text{if } x_{V} \leq m \leq M \leq 1,
\end{cases}$$
(2.13)

where g_V is defined by (2.6) and x_V by (2.7)

(ii) If $1 \le m < M < \infty$, then

$$g_{\mathcal{V}}(m)A \le H_{\mathcal{V}}(A,B) - A\sharp B \le g_{\mathcal{V}}(M)A. \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. (i) If $0 \le m < M \le 1$ then by Lemma 2.3 we have for $v \in (0,1)$ that

$$\begin{cases} g_{V}(m) & \text{if } 0 \leq m < M \leq x_{V} \\ \min \{g_{V}(m), g_{V}(M)\} & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq x_{V} \leq M \leq 1 \\ g_{V}(M) & \text{if } x_{V} \leq m < M \end{cases} \\ \leq g_{V}(x) \\ \leq \begin{cases} g_{V}(M) & \text{if } 0 \leq m < M \leq x_{V} \\ g_{V}(x_{V}) & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq x_{V} \leq M \leq 1 \\ g_{V}(m) & \text{if } x_{V} \leq m < M \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

for any $x \in [m, M]$.

Now, on making use of a similar argument to the one in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we obtain the desired result (2.13). (ii) Obvious by the properties of function g_{ν} .

The interested reader may obtain similar bounds for other locations of $0 \le m < M < \infty$. The details are omitted. The following particular case holds:

Corollary 2.6. Let A and B be two positive operators. For positive real numbers m, m', M, M', put $h := \frac{M}{m}$, $h' := \frac{M'}{m'}$ and let $v \in (0,1)$. (i) If $0 < mI \le A \le m'I < M'I \le B \le MI$, then

$$g_{V}(h')A \le H_{V}(A,B) - A\sharp B \le g_{V}(h)A. \tag{2.15}$$

(ii) If $0 < mI \le B \le m'I < M'I \le A \le MI$, then

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{V}\left(h,h'\right)A \leq H_{V}\left(A,B\right) - A\sharp B \leq \tilde{\Gamma}_{V}\left(h,h'\right)A,\tag{2.16}$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{V}(h,h') := \begin{cases}
\frac{g_{V}(h)}{h} & \text{if } 0 \leq \frac{1}{h} < \frac{1}{h'} \leq x_{V}, \\
\min\left\{\frac{g_{V}(h)}{h}, \frac{g_{V}(h')}{h'}\right\} & \text{if } 0 \leq \frac{1}{h} \leq x_{V} \leq \frac{1}{h'} \leq 1, \\
\frac{g_{V}(h')}{h'} & \text{if } x_{V} \leq \frac{1}{h} < \frac{1}{h'}
\end{cases} (2.17)$$

ana

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{V}(h,h') := \begin{cases}
\frac{g_{V}(h')}{h'} & \text{if } 0 \leq \frac{1}{h} < \frac{1}{h'} \leq x_{V}, \\
g_{V}(x_{V}) & \text{if } 0 \leq \frac{1}{h} \leq x_{V} \leq \frac{1}{h'} \leq 1, \\
\frac{g_{V}(h)}{h} & \text{if } x_{V} \leq \frac{1}{h} < \frac{1}{h'} \leq 1.
\end{cases}$$
(2.18)

3. Bounds for $A\nabla B - H_{V}(A,B)$

In order to provide some upper and lower bounds for the quantity

$$A\nabla B - H_{V}(A,B)$$

where A and B are positive invertible operators, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Consider the function $h_v : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ for $v \in (0, 1)$ defined by

$$h_{\nu}(x) = \frac{x+1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(x^{\nu} + x^{1-\nu} \right) \ge 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Then h_V is decreasing on [0,1) and increasing on $(1,\infty)$ with x=1 its global minimum. We have $h_V(0)=\frac{1}{2}$, $\lim_{x\to\infty}h_V(x)=\infty$ and h_V is convex on $(0,\infty)$.

Proof. We have

$$h'_{v}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{v}{x^{1-v}} - \frac{1-v}{x^{v}} \right)$$

and

$$h_{v}''(x) = \frac{1}{2}v(1-v)\left(x^{v-2} + x^{-v-1}\right)$$

for any $x \in (0, \infty)$ and $v \in (0, 1)$.

We observe that $h_{\mathcal{V}}'(1)=0$ and $h_{\mathcal{V}}''(x)>0$ for any $x\in(0,\infty)$ and $v\in(0,1)$. These imply that the equation $h_{\mathcal{V}}'(x)=0$ has only one solution on $(0,\infty)$, namely x=1. Since $h_{\mathcal{V}}'(x)<0$ for $x\in(0,1)$ and $h_{\mathcal{V}}'(x)>0$ for $x\in(1,\infty)$, then we deduce the desired conclusion.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that A and B are positive invertible operators, the constants $M > m \ge 0$ are such that the condition (1.3) holds and $v \in (0,1)$. Then we have

$$\delta_{V}(m,M)A \le A\nabla B - H_{V}(A,B) \le \Delta_{V}(m,M)A,\tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\delta_{V}(m,M) := \begin{cases} h_{V}(M) & \text{if } M < 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \le 1 \le M, \\ h_{V}(m) & \text{if } 1 < m \end{cases}$$

$$(3.3)$$

and

$$\Delta_{V}(m,M) := \begin{cases} h_{V}(m) & \text{if } M < 1, \\ \max \{h_{V}(m), h_{V}(M)\} & \text{if } m \leq 1 \leq M, \\ h_{V}(M) & \text{if } 1 < m, \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

where h_{v} is defined by (3.1)

Proof. Using Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{cases} h_{V}\left(M\right) \text{ if } M < 1, \\ 0 \text{ if } m \leq 1 \leq M, & \leq h_{V}\left(x\right) \\ h_{V}\left(m\right) \text{ if } 1 < m, \end{cases}$$

$$\leq \begin{cases} h_{V}\left(m\right) \text{ if } M < 1, \\ \max\left\{h_{V}\left(m\right), h_{V}\left(M\right)\right\} \text{ if } m \leq 1 \leq M, \\ h_{V}\left(M\right) \text{ if } 1 < m \end{cases}$$

174 Konuralp Journal of Mathematics

for any $x \in [m, M]$ and $v \in (0, 1)$.

Using the continuous functional calculus, we have for any operator X with $mI \le X \le MI$ that

$$\delta_{V}(m,M)I \leq \frac{X+I}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\left(X^{V} + X^{1-V}\right) \leq \Delta_{V}(m,M)I. \tag{3.5}$$

From (1.3) we have, by multiplying both sides with $A^{-1/2}$ that

$$mI \le A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \le MI.$$

Now, writing the inequality (3.5) for $X = A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}$, we get

$$\delta_{V}(m,M)I
\leq \frac{A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} + I}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \right)^{V} + \left(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2} \right)^{1-V} \right)
\leq \Delta_{V}(m,M)I.$$
(3.6)

Finally, if we multiply both sides of (3.6) by $A^{1/2}$ we get the desired result (3.2).

Corollary 3.3. Let A and B be two positive operators. For positive real numbers m, m', M, M', put $h := \frac{M}{m}$, $h' := \frac{M'}{m'}$ and let $v \in (0,1)$. (i) If $0 < mI \le A \le m'I < M'I \le B \le MI$, then

$$h_{\mathcal{V}}(h')A \le A\nabla B - H_{\mathcal{V}}(A,B) \le h_{\mathcal{V}}(h)A. \tag{3.7}$$

(ii) If $0 < mI \le B \le m'I < M'I \le A \le MI$, then

$$\frac{h_{V}\left(h'\right)}{h'}A \le A\nabla B - H_{V}\left(A,B\right) \le \frac{h_{V}\left(h\right)}{h}A. \tag{3.8}$$

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the anonymous referees for their suggestions that have been implemented in the final version of the paper.

References

- [1] S. S. Dragomir, Some new reverses of Young's operator inequality, Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 18 (2015), Art. 130. [http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a130.pdf].
- [2] S. S. Dragomir, On new refinements and reverses of Young's operator inequality, Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 18 (2015), Art. 135. [http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a135.pdf].
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, Some inequalities for operator weighted geometric mean, Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 18 (2015), Art. 139. [http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a139.pdf].
- S. S. Dragomir, Refinements and reverses of Hölder-McCarthy operator inequality, Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 18 (2015), Art. 143. [http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a143.pdf].
- S. S. Dragomir, Some reverses and a refinement of Hölder operator inequality, Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 18 (2015), Art. 147. [http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a147.pdf].
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, Some inequalities for Heinz operator mean, Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 18 (2015), Art. 163. [Online
- http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a163.pdf].

 [7] S. S. Dragomir, Further inequalities for Heinz operator mean, Preprint RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll. 18 (2015), Art. 167. [Online http://rgmia.org/papers/v18/v18a167.pdf].

 [8] S. Furuichi, On refined Young inequalities and reverse inequalities, J. Math. Inequal. 5 (2011), 21-31.
- [9] S. Furuichi, Refined Young inequalities with Specht's ratio, J. Egyptian Math. Soc. 20 (2012), 46–49.
- [10] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrix, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 361 (2010), 262-269.
- [11] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, Reverse Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices, Lin. Multilin. Alg., 59 (2011), 1031-1037
- [12] F. Kittaneh, M. Krnić, N. Lovričević and J. Pečarić, Improved arithmetic-geometric and Heinz means inequalities for Hilbert space operators, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 2012, 80(3-4), 465-478.
- [13] M. Krnić and J. Pečarić, Improved Heinz inequalities via the Jensen functional, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 11 (9) 2013,1698-1710.
- [14] F. Kubo and T. Ando, Means of positive operators, Math. Ann. 264 (1980), 205–224.
- [15] W. Liao, J. Wu and J. Zhao, New versions of reverse Young and Heinz mean inequalities with the Kantorovich constant, Taiwanese J. Math. 19 (2015), No. 2, pp. 467-479.
- [16] W. Specht, Zer Theorie der elementaren Mittel, *Math. Z.* 74 (1960), pp. 91-98.
 [17] M. Tominaga, Specht's ratio in the Young inequality, *Sci. Math. Japon.*, 55 (2002), 583-588.H.
- [18] G. Zuo, G. Shi and M. Fujii, Refined Young inequality with Kantorovich constant, J. Math. Inequal., 5 (2011), 551-556.